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Abstract

Purpose. The study aims to examine: (a) the vertical integration of the Sustainable Development Goals (SGDs) in Portuguese public higher education institutions, namely at the level of undergraduate and master’s degrees, and (b) the extent to which Portuguese higher education institutions are preparing for the United Nations call to promote SDGs.

Method. A content analysis of the designations and objectives of the 2556 undergraduate and master’s degrees (in 33 Portuguese public higher education institutions) was made to determine whether they promote at least one sustainable development goal.

Findings. The results show that: (a) 198 courses directly address at least one SDG; (b) on average, each higher education institution (HEI) has 6 courses that explicitly address at least one SDG; (c) universities have more courses in SDG areas than polytechnics; (d) more master’s degrees
embrace SDGs than undergraduate degrees; and (e) most of the courses addressing SDGs are from the social sciences and humanities areas and from natural and environmental sciences.

Value. This paper serves to raise the awareness of Portuguese higher education institutions of their role and responsibility in furthering sustainable development goals.
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Introduction

The 57th session of the United Nations General Assembly in December 2002 adopted Resolution 57/254 announcing the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (UN-DESD) for the period between 2005 and 2014. This resolution aimed to highlight education’s critical role in bringing about a more sustainable world (Wals, 2014).

In light of the new UN-DESD (2014-2025), it is time to identify the extent to which Higher Education Institutions (HEI) have introduced Sustainable Development (SD) in all their activities through a “top down” process, starting with planned activities from the governing body and then involving all stakeholders. To this end, SDGs are included in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (SD), launched in September 2015, aimed at promoting the acquisition of knowledge and skills for SD. The agenda is an action plan for SD. Leal Filho et al. (2017a) noted that the Sustainable Development Goals could provide an opportunity to overcome the barriers to achieving sustainability in HEIs.

Although the study by Aleixo et al. (2018a) suggests that HEIs and society recognize the great importance of SD, it has not yet been fully integrated into the HEI system and activities. For Ramos et al. (2015), the development of Higher Education for Sustainable Development (HESD) entails a more effective inclusion of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) in courses. And despite documented case studies in recent years on changes in HEI curricula, ESD is still not practiced in most HEIs and remains a great challenge (Barth and Rieckmann, 2012). Moreover, although SDG4, target 4.7, specifically mentions the acquisition of knowledge and skills by all learners to promote SD, it is not known whether the Higher Education formative offer has adapted to achieve this objective. The focus of this paper is therefore to understand how ESD is implemented in Portuguese HEIs, namely through the offer of undergraduate and master degree courses.

This study addresses the 17 SDGs and aims to investigate whether the graduate and postgraduate offer in Portugal’s public HEIs is aligned with SDGs. In view of the above, it aims to examine: (a) the vertical integration of Sustainable Development Goals in Portuguese public higher educations, namely in undergraduate and master’s degrees, and (b) the extent to which Portuguese higher education institutions are prepared for the United Nations call to promote SDGs.
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Literature review

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

UNESCO (2014) has reiterated that it is important that “citizens are equipped with the knowledge, skills and values to make choices that will support living and working sustainably. Education can – and must – play a decisive role in the journey towards sustainable development” (p.39). Advances in education at all levels and in all areas is a critical tool to move societies towards sustainability. According to UNESCO (2014), the Global Monitoring and Evaluation Final Report shows progress is being made; in particular, it states that “Education for Sustainable Development is achieved by raising awareness, influencing policies and generating significant numbers of good practice projects in all areas and levels of education and learning” (p.202). Wals (2014) also pointed out that HEIs were starting to make systemic changes to foster sustainability through reorientation in education, research, operations and community outreach activities.

The SDGs are a framework of 17 goals (Table 1) and 169 targets across social, economic and environmental areas of SD. The United Nations Member States have made a commitment to reach these goals in the coming years in all countries (until 2030). The SDGs are part of the 2030 Agenda for SD adopted at the United Nation Sustainable Development Summit in September 2015 and which came into force in January 2016. The outcome document of the process, entitled “Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, which compiled these objectives, sets out ways to implement, monitor and review.

Table 1 – SDG Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDG designation</th>
<th>SDG meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG 1 – No poverty</td>
<td>End poverty in all its forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG 2 – Zero hunger</td>
<td>End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG 3 – Good health and well-being</td>
<td>Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG 4 – Quality education</td>
<td>Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG 5 – Gender equality</td>
<td>Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG 6 – Clean water and sanitation</td>
<td>Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG 7 – Affordable and clean energy</td>
<td>Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG 8 – Decent work and economic growth</td>
<td>Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SDG 9 – Industry, innovation and infrastructures
Build resilient infrastructures, promote sustainable industrialization and foster innovation;

SDG 10 – Reduce inequalities
Reduce inequality within and among countries

SDG 11 – Sustainable cities and communities
Make cities inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable

SDG 12 – Responsible consumption and production
Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns

SDG 13 – Climate action
Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts

SDG 14 – Life below water
Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources

SDG 15 – Life on land
Sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, halt and reverse land degradation, halt biodiversity loss

SDG 16 – Peace, justice and strong institutions
Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for SD, provide justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels

SDG 17 – Partnerships for the goals
Revitalize the global partnership for SD

Source: Elaborated by the authors with public information from United Nations (2015)

Elder et al. (2016) argue that sufficient means must be made available to implement these goals. According to Hajer et al. (2015), SGDs need to mobilize new and multiple agents of change. It is only possible to reach these goals if all parties are involved and are committed to taking responsibility for action. Hence, it should be stressed that “the SGDs have the potential to become the guiding vision for governmental, corporate and civil society action for a shared and lasting prosperity” (Hajer et al., 2015). The implementation will rely on countries and their different tools (SD policies, plans and programs).

As education is essential to SD, it is the subject of a specific goal (objective 4) which highlights the importance of HEI. Education is both a goal in itself and also a means to reach all other goals (United Nations, 2015).

The increasing involvement of HESD is well known, notably in response to 4.7 of the SDGs. This goal aims to ensure that, by 2030, all students acquire the knowledge and skills necessary to promote SD, including, inter alia, through ESD and sustainable lifestyles, human rights, gender equality, promotion of a culture of peace and non-violence, global citizenship and cultural diversity and the contribution of culture to SD (United Nations, 2015).

Many authors argue that HEIs have the moral obligation and responsibility to ensure their graduates develop the right vision to promote quality of life for future generations (Segalàs et al., 2010). Nonetheless, traditional education has not offered graduates training to develop solutions to the emerging world problems (Sibbel, 2009) and it was recognized that “sustainability is seldom systematically embedded in the curriculum”; this constitutes a major
barrier to the incorporation of SD in HEI (Leal Filho, 2018). In light of this responsibility and barrier, it is imperative that all HE courses gradually and systematically address SDGs.

The HEIs should embrace ESD and have curricular units that develop relevant competences in graduates (Wiek et al., 2011, Wiek, 2015, Thomas, 2016, Leicht et al., 2018). Segalàs et al. (2010) believe that the increase in students’ knowledge of SD is found primarily in community-oriented courses with a more constructive, active learning pedagogy, namely through methodologies such as: (i) lecturing, (ii) project-based learning, (iii) case study, (iv) problem-based learning, (v) backcasting and (vi) role play.

For Sibbel (2009), “Higher Education must develop the capacity in graduates to prioritise actions after balancing all the social, environmental and economic cost and benefits” (p. 79). Students’ sustainability competencies can be developed by fostering their ability to be active and critical citizens, “able to participate in shaping a sustainable future” (Leicht et al., 2018). For Wiek et al. (2011, 2015), five competencies should be developed in students to promote sustainability, namely: (i) systems thinking; (ii) futures thinking (or anticipatory); (iii) values thinking (or normative); (iv) strategic thinking (or action-oriented); (v) collaboration (or interpersonal). Wiek (2015) claims there are few examples of the objective introduction of these competencies in the different levels of education, namely as specific learning goals (e.g., Arizona State University). Leal Filho et al. (2019) recommends HEIs to test and use new contents, learning methods and transformative approaches.

Although the policies aimed at reforming curricula to include sustainability have been successful (Radford, 2012), Popescu and Beleau (2014) draw attention to the lack of indicators to measure the integration of SD issues in curricula.

Education for Sustainable Development (EDS) can be integrated in higher education: (i) vertically and (ii) horizontally. The former integrates sustainability though specific sustainability-related courses while the latter includes sustainability within the regular courses in the study plan (Figueiró and Raufflet, 2015, Stough et al., 2017). An “Environment and Sustainability Studies” master course is an example of the vertical introduction of sustainability into HEI; on the other hand, the horizontal integration of ESD is achieved through interweaving sustainability in different courses of the curriculum (Figueiró and Raufflet, 2015). However, ESD can also be introduced through different pedagogical approaches, like: (i) a learner-centered approach; (ii) action-oriented learning and (iii) transformative learning (Leicht et al., 2018). Barth and Rieckmann (2012) argue that changes in the curriculum can successfully foster SD by taking four main aspects into account: (i) linking theory and practice; (ii) interdisciplinary co-operation; (iii)
informal learning and (iv) leadership approaches. To quote Barth and Rieckmann (2012) “sustainable development is not just another topic to be considered in the curriculum, but challenges traditional discipline-oriented and teacher-centered teaching and asks for participatory and competence-oriented approaches in higher education” (p.15).

According to Lozano (Lozano et al., 2015), the main examples presented to implement ESD in HEIs are: offering students the possibility to take classes in another faculty; integrating SD courses in programs; offering optional SD courses; inviting SD guest lecturers; promoting systems and holistic thinking in teaching activities; providing continuous education to external stakeholders; fostering the link between the natural sciences and the social sciences; providing SD education to educators and integrating SD in all programs, courses and faculties. Some examples of the implementation of ESD can be found in Leal Filho et al. (2017b) and in Leal Filho (2018).

The Portuguese Scenario

According to Lozano et al. (2015), despite considerable SD implementation (Lozano et al., 2015), “in general, the implementation of SD in HEIs has been compartmentalized and not holistically integrated throughout the institutions” (p.14). In Portugal, HEIs do not yet prioritize implementing SD throughout the system and this must therefore be addressed (Aleixo et al., 2018b). Previous studies show a lack of national integrated strategies and policies, plans and programs on the integration of ESD into Portuguese HEI (Farinha et al., 2017) as well as an absence of a centralized system for implementing sustainable in HEIs (Farinha et al., 2018). Despite the lack of both government institutions’ commitment to implement ESD in higher education and documents linked to SD, “Portuguese universities’ autonomy and their social responsibility have led them to develop several initiatives and policies toward ESD” (Farinha et al., 2018). Portugal has recently published a Green Paper on Social Responsibility and Higher Education Institutions (ORSIES - Observatório da Responsabilidade Social e Instituições de Ensino Superior, 2018) with the support of the State Secretariat for Science, Technology and Higher Education. This document places SDGs at the center of HEI practices, namely in the formative offer domain and therefore signals the recent change in Portuguese Public Policies to promote the ESD. Nowadays, there is debate on how SD should be assessed and reported by HEIs.

According to the Final Report of the UN Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (UNESCO, 2014), the Global Universities Partnership on Environment and Sustainability (GUPES) aims to introduce environmental and sustainability practices into the curricula and supports
over 10 annual sustainability training programs involving 300 universities. After consultation, it was found that twenty-two Spanish HEIs are involved in this consortium but no Portuguese HEIs (GUPES, 2016). This lack of involvement of Portugal’s HEIs in the network is indicative of the scarcity of Portuguese Public Policies to promote ESD. Despite the insufficient national combined strategies or policies related to ESD, the results of Farinha et al. (2019) show that the SD “movement has made progress at the university level, with good examples and initiatives in several Portuguese universities” (p. 20). Nevertheless, Farinha et al. (2019) only identified three HEI (from a list of 14) that introduced sustainability through courses or programs on SD (4 courses in total; Farinha et al., 2019, p. 11).

Recent studies in Portugal (e.g., Aleixo et al., 2018a) showed that although Portuguese HEIs recognize the need to introduce sustainable competencies and topics in all courses, their vision of how to implement this differs. While stakeholders defend that research and dissemination of SD knowledge should be encouraged and transversal to all HEIs, the study by Aleixo et al. (2018b) shows there is no HEI strategy for education or the information society for sustainability. There is an urgent need for a conceptual and organizational change in HEIs towards a sustainable HEI (Aleixo et al., 2018b), including strategic planning for the formal and informal integration of courses promoting ESD. Aleixo et al. (2018b) notes that some interviewees mention the need to introduce sustainability as a topic in the curriculum to further the role of HESD. According to Aleixo et al. (2018a), HEIs need to be aware that they can empower students with skills to address society’s problems for future wellbeing by encompassing sustainability in all their activities and, particularly in education.

The Portuguese higher education system is characterized by a binary system of universities and polytechnics. Despite common objectives, the two types of HEI have particularities defined by law. Indeed, the basic law of the education system (Lei n.º 46/86 - Lei de Bases do Sistema Educativo [Law No. 46/86 - Basic Law of the Educational System], Diário da República n.º 237/1986) characterizes university education as “guided by a constant perspective of promoting research and the creation of knowledge, [which] aims to ensure a sound scientific and cultural preparation and to provide technical training that enables the exercise of professional and cultural activities and fosters the development of capacities of conception, innovation and critical analysis” (11rd article, nº 3); on the other hand, polytechnic education is “guided by a constant perspective of applied research and development, aimed at understanding and solving concrete problems, aimed to provide a solid cultural and technical education at a higher level, develop the capacity for innovation and critical analysis and provide scientific knowledge of a theoretical and practical nature and its applications for the pursuit of professional activities”
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Moreover, while qualifications for some professional activities e.g. law and medicine, can only be obtained at universities, polytechnics also have professional courses that are not offered in universities.

Relative to the 2030 Agenda for SD, the Portuguese government made a public commitment in July 2017 to SDG 4, 5, 9, 10, 13 and 14 (Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2017). Quality education, gender equality, industry, innovation and infrastructures, reducing inequalities, climate action and protecting marine life are strategic areas for Portugal.

In light of the above, the study proceeds with the analysis of the vertical integration of SD (Figueiró and Raufflet, 2015) in the HEI formative offer, namely in the undergraduate and master’s courses in Portugal.

**Method**

The implementation of SDGs in all undergraduate and master’s courses in Portuguese public HEIs (polytechnics and universities) is measured by means of a content analysis of the course designations and objectives. The information was accessed on the HEIs websites. The study examined the undergraduate and master’s courses of the 33 Portuguese public HEIs, 19 of which are polytechnics and 14 universities. All the 957 undergraduate and 1599 master’s courses in the websites were listed and the course designations and objectives analysed.

The content analysis method has been successfully advocated in the literature reviewed (Lozano, 2010, Brondani et al., 2014, Katiliūtė et al., 2014, Amaral et al., 2015). This method (Krippendorff, 2013, Bardin, 2014) consists of classifying the information disclosed in different categories that represent the different SDGs. A system code for the classification of SDG was developed from the list of SDGs and targets. This system code has a list of categories for each SDG. Then each course was analysed by means of the presence/absence of criteria in each SDG (if, at least, one category is present in the courses’ designations and objectives, then there is evidence that the course is related with the respective SDG). That is, for each course and SDG, a point was assigned whenever in the course designation and objectives there is at least one mention to the words listed in the system code (i.e., 0: there is no evidence of the respective SDG on the course; 1: there is evidence of the SDG on the course). The scores were not weighted as it is assumed each SDG is equally important. This process is illustrated in Figure 1. This code was then adapted as necessary when analyzing the course designations and objectives. Note
that the classification system considered a course as being aligned with the SDG when it explicitly addresses SDG topics (identified in the inclusion criteria).

Figure 1 – Diagram of the analysis process

For example, in the case of SDG 15 (Life on land) the categories considered in the system code comprehend the following list of words and sentences: biodiversity, biodiversity conservation, combat desertification, ecosystem, ecosystem services, extinction, forestation, landscape ecology, habitat fragmentation, soil degradation, sustainable forest management and sustainable agriculture. Then, when the courses with the designation of “Sustainable Agriculture” were analysed, a point was assigned in the SDG15. For courses that their designation does not permit a direct classification on the SDGs, then the content of the courses’ objectives was analysed. For example, the “Environmental Engineering” courses mention, in their objectives, topics related with landscape ecology and management of ecosystems, and, then, these courses have a point assigned in the SDG15.

Where applicable, one course could receive one point in more than an SDG. It is the case of the “Environmental and Sustainability Studies” course that was assigned to two SDGs: SDG 7 (Affordable and clean energy) and SDG13 (Climate action).

The data was collected from 1 December 2017 until March 2018 (2017/2018 school year) and each of the 33 HEI website was manually reviewed. The accuracy of coding was ensured by a systematic procedure. To avoid bias in the interpretation, three researchers from different
training areas reviewed each program at least four times and analyzed the results independently. At the end of the process, the courses with different classifications in each SDG were revised in a meeting were the three researchers shared their interpretation of the classification and, then, a decision about the SDG associated to each course was made by consensus.

The sample is composed of 2556 Portuguese HEI, of which: (i) 944 are from polytechnic institutions and 1612 from universities, (ii) 957 are undergraduate degrees and 1599 are master’s degrees. Table 1 below shows in detail the sample by type of institution and academic degree. The courses were offered by 33 HEIs (19 polytechnics and 14 universities).

Table 2 – Sample of courses identified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of HEI</th>
<th>Polytechnic (n:19)</th>
<th>Undergraduate Degree</th>
<th>Master</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>462</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University (n:14)</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n:33)</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data were analyzed through descriptive statistics techniques (e.g., absolute frequencies, contingency tables). Fisher’s nonparametric exact test (Fisher, 1925) was performed to determine whether there was a significant difference in the number of courses addressing SDGs in polytechnics and universities. The same procedure was used to determine the difference in the number of courses addressing SDGs in undergraduate and master’s degrees.

Results

This analysis confirmed that 198 courses respond to at least one SDG. Most courses address only one SDG (n: 136), 49 courses address two SDGs, ten courses address three SDGs and one addresses four SDGs. The following SDGs were represented in the largest number of courses in different institutions (Table 3): SDG 15 – life on land (n: 37), SDG 7 – affordable and clean energy (n: 36) and SDG 6 – clean water and sanitation (n: 25). The least represented SDGs are: SDG 1 – no poverty, and 17 – partnerships for the goals. It should be noted that 7.7% of courses addressed at least one SDG, and each HEI has on average 6 courses (198 courses in 33 HEI) that are explicitly related with SDGs.

Table 3 – Number of courses per SDG, by type of institution and type of degree
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDG</th>
<th>All HEI</th>
<th>Polytechnic</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Fisher’s Exact Test a</th>
<th>Undergraduate Degree</th>
<th>Master Degree</th>
<th>Fisher’s Exact Test b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least one SDG’s is addressed in the course</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG1 – No poverty</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG2 – Zero hunger</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG3 – Good health and well-being</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG4 – Quality education</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG5 – Gender equality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG6 – Clean water and sanitation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG7 – Affordable and clean energy</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG8 – Decent work and economic growth</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG9 – Industry, innovation and infrastructures</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG10 – Reduce inequalities</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG11 – Sustainable cities and communities</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG12 – Responsible consumption and production</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG13 – Climate action</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG14 – Life below water</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG15 – Life on land</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG16 – Peace, justice and strong institutions</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG17 – Partnerships for the goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: a Fisher’s exact test to compare the number of courses with SDG in polytechnics versus universities (Exact Sig. 2-sided). b Fisher’s exact test to compare the number of courses with SDG in undergraduate degrees versus master degrees (Exact Sig. 2-sided). n.a. not applicable

With regard to the differences between institutions (Table 3), it was found that 81 polytechnic vis-a-vis 117 university courses address at least one SDG. In polytechnics, the following SDGs are the most frequent: SDG 15 – life on land (n: 15), the SDG 7 – affordable and clean energy (n: 14), SDG 4 – quality education (n: 13), SDG 3 - good health and well-being (n: 12), and SDG 8 – decent work and economic growth (n: 12). Like in polytechnics, the most frequent SDGs in the university courses are SDG 15 – life on land, and 7 – affordable and clean energy (n: 22 for each). In universities, other SDGs frequently addressed are SDG 6 - clean water and sanitation (n: 18),
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SDG 14 – life below water (n: 14), SDG 13 – climate action (n: 13), SDG 3 - good health and well-being (n: 13), and SDG 16 – peace, justice and strong institutions (n: 12).

Fisher’s exact test performed to compare the number of courses addressing at least one SDG found no significant difference between polytechnics and universities (p value=0.250). However, significant differences were found between polytechnics and universities (p value <0.05) in SDGs 2 (zero hunger), 4 (quality education) and 8 (decent work and economic growth); these specific SDGs are addressed more often in polytechnic institutions. There is also a significant difference (p-value <0.05) in SDG 16 (peace, justice and strong institutions) where the universities’ formative offer is greater.

With regard to the differences between undergraduate and master’s degrees (Table 3), master’s degrees have 158 courses addressing SDGs vis-à-vis 40 in undergraduate degrees. This is a statically significant difference (Fisher’s exact test; p-value=0.000). At the undergraduate level, the most frequent SDGs are: the SDGs 7 – affordable and clean sanitation (n: 13) and 15 – life on land (n: 11); and at the master level, they are: SDG 15 – life on land (n: 26), SDG 7 – affordable and clean sanitation (n: 23) and SDG 3 – Good health and well-being (n: 22).

Significant differences are found between undergraduate and master degrees in six SDGs, with masters having more courses addressing the following (p value <0.05 in Fisher’s Exact Test): SDG 3 (good health and well-being), SDG 4 (quality education), SDG 8 (decent work and economic growth), SDG 10 (reduce inequalities), SDG 12 (responsible consumption and production) and SDG 16 (peace, justice and strong institutions).

The study proceeded with the analysis of the scientific domains and scientific areas of the courses covering SDGs, using the FCT (Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia; The Foundation for Science and Technology) list as a tool to divide the scientific areas. As can be seen from Table 4, social sciences and humanities is the scientific domain with most courses involving SDGs (n: 73; 36.9%), directly followed by the natural and environmental sciences (n: 71; 35.9%). The life and health sciences have the fewest courses (n: 19; 9.6%). Universities have more SDG related courses than Polytechnics in all scientific domains except life and health sciences.

Table 4 - Scientific areas of the degrees that include SDG topics, by type of institution and type of course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific area</th>
<th>Type of HEI</th>
<th>Type of Course</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polytechnic N N %</td>
<td>University N N %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact Sciences and Engineering</td>
<td>14 7.1% 10.6%</td>
<td>21 10.6%</td>
<td>35 17.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In all scientific domains, more master than undergraduate degrees have courses related to SDGs. The natural and environmental sciences have most SDG related courses at the undergraduate level (n: 21; 10.6%), and social sciences and humanities at the master level (n: 70; 35.4%).

Discussion

The analysis of the public formative offer in Portugal shows that undergraduate and postgraduate degrees address the following SDGs most frequently: SDG 15 – life on land (examples of courses: bachelor Environmental Sciences, bachelor in Organic Farming, bachelor in Forestry and Natural Resources Engineering, bachelor and master in Environment Engineering, master in Forest Resources), and SDG 7 – affordable and clean energy (examples of courses: bachelor in Environmental Sciences, bachelor in Renewable Energy Engineering, master in Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency, master in Sustainable Energies). Both highlight the current concerns about the environment for the future of humanity. The focus on these SDGs may reflect the increasing awareness of resource depletion and the need for both preservation of life and the development of sustainable solutions in the area of energy.

Governments nowadays are encouraging projects that adopt alternative energies and develop the circular economy through own financing (national and international funding) or good practices. There is also greater awareness about the issues related with life on land, notably land use, desertification and the development of organic and sustainable agriculture. According to Reed et al. (2016), land use planning is a mechanism to reduce poverty, conserve biodiversity, and preserve forests and natural resources. HEIs adjust the formative offer in line with the needs of the labour market, and courses in the area of energy and life on land naturally emerge if there is demand from the market (i.e., governmental agencies, corporations, non-governmental companies and consumers).

The United Nations, governments and the media constantly remind society of the sustainability problems that will be faced in the near future. For example, the Horizon 2020 program strives to improve areas aligned to major policy priorities worldwide such as Building a low-carbon, climate resilient future and Connecting economic and environmental gains – the Circular
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institutions offer more courses in these areas probably due to the applied research and practical nature of polytechnic education (examples: master in Entrepreneurship and Social Innovation, master in Sustainable Tourism Management, master in Education and Social Intervention). The courses addressing SDG 16 are in the areas of law, international studies and public policies which are taught in the university system in Portugal due to legal constraints (e.g., law courses are only offered by universities) and greater experience in knowledge creation and the development of conception, innovation and critical analysis skills in these areas (examples: master in Crime, Difference and Inequality, master in Human Rights, master in International Relations - Peace, Security and Development Studies).

The study reveals that master’s degrees include more SDG-related courses. This is in part due to the higher specialization of master courses but also because they can adapt more easily to demand and to requirements from the market. The most striking differences are found in courses related with SDG 3, 4, 8, 10, 12, and 16. This is due to the fact that master courses can offer specialized knowledge and research in response to immense global and local challenges, namely ensuring healthy lives and promoting well-being for all at all ages (SDG3), ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education and promoting lifelong learning opportunities for all (SDG4), decent work and economic growth (SDG4), reducing inequalities (SDG8), ensuring sustainable consumption and production patterns (SDG10) and promoting peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, providing access to justice for all and building effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels (SDG16).

Albareda-Tiana et al. (2018) state that implementing SDGs in HEIs provide an opportunity, supported by public policies, to include ESD competences in teaching and to enable students to mobilize in response to problems.

Various scholars have noted that HEIs can foster the transition to a sustainable society, namely by creating and transferring knowledge to the society and preparing students for their future in society (Wiek et al., 2016; Leicht, Heiss and Byun, 2018; Leal Filho et al. 2019, Findler, Schönherr, Lozano and Stacherl, 2019). To this end, it is essential that the ESD in HEIs prepares graduates to make responsible decisions and develops competences that improve employability.

There are two SDG with fewer courses than expected: SDG1 and SDG5. SDG 1 – no poverty – was not explicitly addressed in any degree program according to the analysis of the course designations and objectives. This is in line with the results obtained by Albareda-Tiana et al. (2018), for the International University of Catalonia, where there is no reference to SDG 1 in any
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degree program. On the other hand, this SDG is not considered a strategic priority in Portugal which comes within the group of countries with very high human development and its position in the UN Human Development Index has been rising since 1990 (United Nations Development Programme, 2018).

SDG5 – Gender equality – was explicitly addressed in only three master courses offered at different universities (example of course: master in Women's Studies). These courses address the role of women in society and culture and also women’s rights. As expected, there are no courses associated to SDG 17 – Partnerships for the goals; this is explained by the time elapsed since the publication of SDGs and the specific nature of this SDG. In fact, this SDG needs a holistic intervention (e.g., partnerships between HEI, companies and government) that is probably better addressed through the institutions’ political dimension than their educational offer.

The scientific areas with most SDG-related courses are social sciences and humanities and the natural and environmental sciences. This is also evidenced in the study by Fonseca et al. (2018) on course addressing sustainability offered by HEIs. In contrast, life and health sciences have the fewest courses addressing SDG. For all scientific domains except life and health sciences, universities have more SDG related courses than Polytechnics. More master courses address SDGs than undergraduate degrees in all scientific domains.

Relatively to the SDGs that were prioritized by the Portuguese government, the most courses are offered on SDG 4 and SDG 14 and the fewest on SDG 5. This draws attention to the need for Portuguese public entities to increase the formative offer in Portugal’s strategic areas, notably in SDG5.

Although with an average of 6 courses per HEI aligned with the SDG, only 7.7% of courses addressed at least one SDG. This modest result may be due to the lack of national integrated strategies or policies, plans or programs on the integration of ESD into Portuguese HEIs (Farinha et al., 2017).

Conclusion
This study provides a critical analysis of the inclusion of SDG in Portuguese HEIs. HEIs’ integration of ESD varies considerably and some institutions are already addressing more of the SDGs to develop a culture for SD. The results show that in Portugal: (a) 198 courses directly and explicitly address at least one SDG; (b) on average, each HEI has 6 courses that explicitly address at least one SDG; (c) universities have more courses in SDG areas than polytechnics; (d) more master’s
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degrees embrace the SDGs than undergraduate degrees; (e) most of the courses addressing SDGs are from the social sciences and humanities areas and from natural and environmental sciences; (f) the SDGs addressed by the most undergraduate and master courses are SDG 15 – life on land, and SDG 7 – affordable and clean energy.

Despite the small number of courses directly and explicitly addressing SDGs, this may be because Portuguese HEIs have not yet had time to respond to the United Nations publication of the SDGs in 2015. This paper provides a first analysis and inventory of the vertical integration of SDGs in the Portuguese higher education system. This should be followed in future studies by an in-depth analysis and detailed study of curricular unit syllabuses, teaching methodologies and research conducted by professors and students.

The main practical implications of this study are twofold. First, the small number of courses embracing SDGs – notably those considered a strategic priority by Portuguese Government – three years after the publication of the SDGs (United Nations, 2015) highlights the importance of developing public policies that motivate Portuguese HEIs to create and offer courses on these topics. Second, this paper serves to raise the awareness of Portuguese higher education institutions of their role and responsibility in furthering SDG. HEIs could address the SDGs more explicitly in each course. The SDG could be operationalized in several ways (course objectives, development of competencies, teaching methodologies, research by professors and students) and HE’s contribution to the SDGs and target would be clearer if HEIs explicitly mention each course’s link with SDG (if any).

Sustainability is a new political agenda, but it is also crucial for all institutions to fulfil their responsibility to promote proactive dynamics between institutions, agents and individuals. Albareda-Tiana et al. (2018) propose several guidelines/suggestions for the successful inclusion of SGD in curricula that would be appropriate in Portuguese HEIs; more specifically: (i) introduce ESD and the SDGs into degree curricula; (ii) the curricula must be consistent with the HEIs’ mission; and (iii) a holistic methodological strategy for integration into the labour market that combines theory and practice. The paper provides a reflection on SDG implementation in HEIs and highlights the urgency of reforming curricula for a sustainability society. The 2030 development agenda and the SDGs are a framework for collaboration by HEIs in curriculum and their other activities in pursuit of SD.

The study has two main limitations. First, in practice, ESD is not only achieved in HEI through undergraduate and master courses. Although doctorate programs, specializations and
postgraduate courses are now being offered that can pursue SDG, these were not considered in the analysis. Second, the content of curricular units and the teaching methodologies of HEIs were not analyzed herein. This study examined the vertical integration of ESD in HEIs through the analysis of SDGs associated to courses and the course designations and objectives. An analysis of the curricular unit’s syllabus and methodologies could identify more courses related with the SDGs. It would therefore be fruitful in future research to analyze the study plans and syllabuses of courses at different levels of education as well as the link between the integration of SD competences and the inclusion of SGDs within HEI curricula.
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