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ABSTRACT

Entrepreneurship has become an important subject for academics and for politicians all over the western world. Particularly for countries in the euro zone, whose ability to use demand side politics has been severely shortened, the need to improve economic growth through the stimulation of the aggregate supply is one of the priorities of these days.

It is a reasonable assumption that increasing entrepreneurship is an important objective. The thing is HOW. What can governments do to increase the creation of new companies?

A large number of studies have been published on this subject, many of them trying to contribute for answers to this question, and most of them studying successful entrepreneurs. That means most studies look at people who, not only decided to create their own companies but also succeeded at that activity. Most studies don’t look at people who created their own companies but failed. Rare studies look at people who decided not to become entrepreneurs.

Following studies about entrepreneurial intentions this communication takes the data from such a study and tries to identify differences between a) people who say they want to be entrepreneurs and b) people who clearly say they do not want to create their own organization.

So this communication looks at people who flat out say, at an early age (university final year students), they don’t want to be entrepreneurs.

It tries to identify what distinguishes these people from the ones who want to be entrepreneurs and it tries to answer the question: why?

Why don’t they want to become entrepreneurs? Are they concerned they will not be successful? Do they believe they will not be able to assemble the necessary resources? Are they unable to identify entrepreneurial opportunities? Do they think society values the entrepreneur’s role?

Conclusions are drawn, based on this sample, concerning what governments, universities and other interface structures can do to change these people’s ideas about entrepreneurship.
INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship has become an important issue for economic authorities who are looking for new ways to increase economic growth, job creation and innovation (Allen and Weinberg, 1988, Reynolds and Maki, 1991, Reynolds, 1994, Reynolds, Storey and Westhead, 1994, Palich and Bagby, 1995 and Henderson, 2002).

Entrepreneurship is also increasingly important as a career choice, because the 21st century labor market more and more faces professionals with the choice of pursuing a career in other people’s companies or creating their own. Other people choose creating their own company because they lack a job alternative, or fear losing their present one (Baumol, 1990 and Reynolds et al, 2002).

For the first or the second reason, entrepreneurship is a must in nowadays press, bookshelves, politicians’ speeches and academia (Gaspar, 2006 and Gaspar, 2007).

Everyone seems to agree that increasing entrepreneurship and increasing the creation of new firms is good for the economy and for the development of society, therefore, it is an important objective for economic and political decision makers (Allen and Weinberg, 1988, Reynolds, Storey and Westhead, 1994, Palich and Bagby, 1995, Shane and Venkataraman, 2000, Gartner, 2001, Reynolds et al., 2002 and Gaspar, 2007).

The real question is HOW (Sociedade Portuguesa de Inovação, 2001 and Gaspar, 2006). How to increase entrepreneurship? How can we convince people to take the risks and the pains of creating a new company?

On the root of entrepreneurship and firm creation is people. The entrepreneur is a person, rational in some ways, emotional in others (Gartner, 1989).

Why does he or she decide to create his or hers company is a question often studied in the literature (Gartner, 1989 and Lillo and Lajara, 2002).

Why do everyone else decide not to do it, is a question much less studied.

Taking the results of a study aimed at measuring university’s last year student’s, this work tries to identify what (if anything) distinguishes people who declare themselves interested in creating their own company in the future from people who flat say no. They do not want to be entrepreneurs.

METHODOLOGY

Final year students in a small Polytechnic Institute in Portugal (Instituto Politécnico de Santarém) were subjected to a study of their entrepreneurial intentions, replicating the many similar studies published in the literature.
The data was used in this study with a different objective and the sample was divided into two subsets, based on a clear question asking whether respondents were interested in creating their own company in the future.

The two sub-samples were then compared.

**ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION**

Comparing the two sub-samples showed that people who declared they did not want to create their own companies in the future (they will be called NE – non entrepreneurs) where younger (Figure 1). More than five years younger.

*Figure 1 – Average Age*

The same comparison showed (Figure 2) that NE were mostly women (61%), while potential entrepreneurs were mostly men (63%).
There was another big difference in what concerned family antecedents (Figure 3). NE have less entrepreneurs in their family (only 29% have) than potential entrepreneurs.

NE showed a slightly higher classification in the national tests for admission in higher education (Figure 4).
Not surprisingly, NE considered themselves less capable of creating a company than potential entrepreneurs (Figure 5).

The potential entrepreneurs who have previously considered creating a company (Figure 6) were much more (73%) than NE (32%).
Only 14% of NE have assisted a seminar on firm creation, against 34% of potential entrepreneurs (Figure 7).

Curiously there were more NE (44%) saying they had had disciplines on firm creation at school (Figure 8) than potential entrepreneurs (39%).
NE were not as conscious of institutional incentives to create companies than potential entrepreneurs (Figure 9).

When comparing the reasons people see to create a company, potential entrepreneurs give more importance to family tradition, status and money, while NE place greater weight on professional development and on creating one owns job.
Figure 10 - Why would you create your own company?

Comparing reasons people see not to create a company (or obstacles), one can conclude that NE give greater importance to responsibility, dealing with staff, lack of experience and to the long hours they think are required to create a company (Figure 11).

Potential entrepreneurs saw fewer obstacles in every item, except of the political and economic situation.
When asked to judge their own personal skills, NE believed were less confident in their capacities than potential entrepreneurs, in every item, building a network of contacts, developing new products, leadership and communication, problem solving, creativity, and identifying business opportunities.
The two sub-samples showed some differences, even though these were not radical.

NE were females, younger, with less family antecedents, consider themselves less capable of starting a company and they did not consider creating their own company.

NE have not assisted seminars on firm creation, but had disciplines in school on the same subject. They were also less aware of incentives to create one’s own company.

NE were less motivated by money and status to start their own company and were most fearing of the long hours, the responsibility and the problems with staff that task would require.

They were also less confident on their skills.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This was a first attempt to study the people who say they do not intend to become entrepreneurs.
The objective is to understand the reasons behind that career choice.

By comparing the answers given to an entrepreneurial intentions questionnaire by potential entrepreneurs and people who say they do not want to be entrepreneurs, a few differences were spotted.

Further research needs to be done, but confidence on their own skills seems an important difference, just like family antecedents and sex.

The motivation for money and status was another importance difference this first study on the subject.

Future research should be done with larger samples and more elaborate statistical techniques.
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